

O6H5men1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
-----x

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 v.

23 Cr. 490 (SHS)

5 ROBERT MENENDEZ,  
6 WAEL HANA, a/k/a "Will Hana,"  
and FRED DAIBES,

7 Defendants.

Trial

8 -----x

9 New York, N.Y.  
10 June 17, 2024  
2:30 p.m.

11  
12 Before:

13 HON. SIDNEY H. STEIN,

14 District Judge  
15 -and a Jury-

16 APPEARANCES

17 DAMIAN WILLIAMS

United States Attorney for the  
Southern District of New York

18 BY: PAUL M. MONTELEONI

19 DANIEL C. RICHENTHAL

ELI J. MARK

20 LARA E. POMERANTZ

CATHERINE E. GHOSH

21 Assistant United States Attorneys

-and-

22 CHRISTINA A. CLARK

National Security Division

O6H5men1

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

PAUL HASTINGS LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Menendez  
BY: ADAM FEE  
AVI WEITZMAN  
ROBERT D. LUSKIN  
RITA FISHMAN

GIBBONS, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant Hana  
BY: LAWRENCE S. LUSTBERG  
ANNE M. COLLART  
CHRISTINA LaBRUNO  
ANDREW J. MARINO  
RICARDO SOLANO, Jr.  
ELENA CICOGNANI  
JESSICA L. GUARRACINO

CESAR DE CASTRO  
SETH H. AGATA  
SHANNON M. McMANUS  
Attorneys for Defendant Daibes

Also Present: Marwan Abdel-Rahman  
Bachar Alhalabi  
Interpreters (Arabic)  
  
Rachel Wechsler  
Connor Hamill  
Braden Florczyk  
Paralegal Specialists, U.S. Attorney's Office  
  
Justin Kelly, DOAR

O6H5men1

1 (Trial resumed; jury not present)  
2 (The Court and all parties appearing via Microsoft  
3 Teams)  
4 (Pages 3755-3757 SEALED by order of the Court)  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

O6H5men3

1 THE COURT: OK. I take it you need a little time to  
2 regroup in terms of what's coming up and when the government  
3 plans to close now. What is the current status, government?

4 MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, we have given this  
5 thought and we expect to rest a week from tomorrow on June 25  
6 as we have communicated to the defense.

7 THE COURT: You have to tell the reporter who is  
8 speaking.

9 MR. MONTELEONI: I'm so sorry. Paul Monteleoni for  
10 the government.

11 THE COURT: This is the 17th. When do you plan to  
12 rest now?

13 MR. MONTELEONI: On June 25, which is a week from  
14 tomorrow.

15 THE COURT: OK. Defense, let's start with  
16 Mr. Menendez, what is your current intention?

17 MR. WEITZMAN: We plan to present a defense case, as  
18 we have said from the beginning, and we expect it will be at  
19 least a week, perhaps a bit longer.

20 THE COURT: Mr. Hana?

21 MR. LUSTBERG: Yes, Judge. Sorry. I had to unmute.  
22 We will also likely present a defense, much briefer than that.  
23 I would say a couple of days, at most.

24 THE COURT: Mr. de Castro?

25 MR. DE CASTRO: We have identified some witnesses for

O6H5men3

1 the government. If we call those witnesses, I don't think it  
2 would be more than a day or less than a day.

3 THE COURT: You mean witnesses for the defense?

4 MR. DE CASTRO: Yes; yes, for Mr. Daibes.

5 THE COURT: OK. Well, this is beyond the time that I  
6 told the jury -- or not that I told the jury -- that I got  
7 their schedules because we really got their schedules through  
8 July 5th. If the government rests on the 25th and we are  
9 talking about a week for Mr. Menendez, that's one, two, three,  
10 four, five -- six through July 3, we then have the July 4  
11 holiday and 5th, so we are probably, unfortunately, with  
12 deliberations and charge, are going to go into the week of  
13 July 15th.

14 Is that what the general consensus is?

15 MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, I think we are hoping that  
16 the parties can sum up during the week of July 8th.

17 THE COURT: Is this Mr. Weitzman.

18 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, this is Avi Weitzman.

19 Our hope would be that we can sum up during the week  
20 of July 8, as soon as possible, and then proceed to charge and  
21 deliberations that week.

22 THE COURT: If we can sum up the -- well, yes. I  
23 mean, let's aim for that, let's certainly aim for that, to have  
24 the defense case over by July 8. Is that what you are talking  
25 about, Mr. Weitzman?

O6H5men3

1 MR. WEITZMAN: No, I'm not sure that that is going to  
2 be feasible because I mentioned I do think our defense case, if  
3 I am listening to everybody correctly, is going to be closer to  
4 a week and a half or two weeks, so --

5 THE COURT: You are talking about for all of the  
6 defendants.

7 MR. WEITZMAN: Correct. Correct. That means, I  
8 imagine, summations will be mid or second half of the week of  
9 July 8, not early part of the week. I would add though, your  
10 Honor, I think we have an amazing jury and I think they've been  
11 very attentive and no one -- we have all been on long trials  
12 and jurors do their best to get off sometimes and this jury has  
13 not done that at all so I think they'll understand and I think  
14 that they are going to stick with us.

15 THE COURT: Well, I agree that they seem to be very  
16 attentive and quite interested, and you may have noticed that a  
17 couple of them are taking copious notes but it is a separate  
18 issue because I didn't get their schedules for anything after  
19 July 5. Well, let's hope for the best, and I do have the sense  
20 that these jurors just are paying close attention. Let's hope  
21 they stay with us.

22 MR. RICHENTHAL: This is Daniel Richenthal, your  
23 Honor.

24 One related note, we received from Mr. Menendez' team  
25 a list of 48 defendants witnesses. If I am hearing correctly,

O6H5men3

1 their case is approximately one week, it seems to me they're  
2 not going to call 48 people. It is very difficult for us to  
3 plan with a list of 48.

4 THE COURT: Stop right there.

5 Mr. Menendez' team, you are not going to sandbag the  
6 government here with 48 names. I assume, in good faith, you  
7 don't intend to keep the 48 names on. What are your  
8 intentions?

9 MR. WEITZMAN: So, your Honor, I will tell you that  
10 the issue is that the government still has 18 witnesses on  
11 their list. We have asked them who they are withdrawing. Many  
12 of our 48 are names of witnesses who are on the government's  
13 list that we do not know whether they intend to call or not but  
14 that we needed to name in order to make sure that we can  
15 preserve our ability to recall them given their scope  
16 objections. So, that is the first thing.

17 The second thing is, and if the government were to  
18 actually provide us a list of the next four days' witnesses,  
19 which is what we are talking about, we would be able to narrow  
20 our own witness list but we have been unable to get that from  
21 the government.

22 The second thing is that I do think we will have a  
23 substantial case and I am talking about two dozen plus  
24 witnesses. We are going to keep it very tight, your Honor. We  
25 are talking about witnesses who are going to be half an hour

O6H5men3

1 so, yes, we have a substantial case and we are going to present  
2 them.

3 THE COURT: You can't get 24 witnesses on in a week.

4 MR. WEITZMAN: I think these are going to be very  
5 tight witnesses, your Honor; very, very tight.

6 THE COURT: What is the agreement of the parties in  
7 terms of advance notice? My recollection is it is two days'  
8 notice for witnesses; is that right.

9 MR. WEITZMAN: It is three days' notice for witnesses  
10 and two days for any exhibits that the other side intend to use  
11 as non-impeachment exhibits so, yes, three days' notice, your  
12 Honor.

13 MR. RICHENTHAL: This is Dan Richenthal again, your  
14 Honor.

15 I'm not trying to get into a quantitative fight but it  
16 is not accurate that many of the folks on the 48-person list  
17 are our witnesses. I think maybe three or four are. I don't  
18 believe that is many. We have also gone ahead and given the  
19 defense our witnesses for the next three days, I think all but  
20 the final day of trial, which we are still refining.

21 THE COURT: Look, I'm going to need more good faith on  
22 the part of both sides here. Nobody should be dealing with 48  
23 potential witnesses. I am just going to ask you to narrow  
24 those down to the extent you can. I will continue to urge that  
25 on you as we go forward.



O6H5men3

1 Who are the next three days' witnesses for the  
2 government?

3 MR. MONTELEONI: This is Paul Monteleoni again.

4 We intend to continue and conclude the examination of  
5 Mr. Sellinger. Then we intend for Michael Soliman to testify.  
6 After that it is likely going to be Paul van Wie, the final  
7 summary witness, we think that is going to take us into the  
8 next day which that looks like it will be Thursday; at which  
9 time we expect to call Joseph Catania and Isabella Fuchs, as  
10 well as Geoffrey Mearns, the individual who was authenticating  
11 the portion of the presentation that there is a motion about.  
12 Following that, we believe that Shannon Kopplin is likely to  
13 testify after that, and as well as Vasken Khoroizian, a jeweler.  
14 So we think that that is probably more than take us through the  
15 rest of this week and then we are sort of tinkering with the  
16 order for the last few witnesses -- sorry.

17 THE COURT: No. Go ahead.

18 MR. MONTELEONI: We have engaged with defense  
19 substantially about our witnesses. There is a number that we  
20 are not currently in a position to drop from the list but we  
21 hope to be in that position after some -- after developments at  
22 trial. There is some we have indicated are likely to drop but  
23 not fully there yet and some we are waiting to make the  
24 decision on. Obviously there is some uncertainty all around  
25 but we think it is four trial days which is going to take us to

O6H5men3

1 next Tuesday. That's where our estimate comes from.

2 THE COURT: All right. So tell me with hours and the  
3 subject matter, I know we have gone over it before but I want  
4 to be refreshed. How much longer do you have on Sellinger?

5 MR. WEITZMAN: On the cross I expect probably another  
6 hour, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Tell me again, government, who Soliman is  
8 and how long?

9 MR. RICHENTHAL: Mr. Soliman is a former political  
10 advisor for Mr. Menendez.

11 THE COURT: Oh yes. There has been testimony about  
12 him already. Yes.

13 MR. RICHENTHAL: I think his name has appeared on  
14 documents. I believe also one of our witnesses may have  
15 mentioned him as well. That's correct.

16 THE COURT: How long on direct?

17 MR. RICHENTHAL: I think Mr. Soliman's direct will be  
18 under two hours.

19 THE COURT: van Wie is the chart and I have to go over  
20 the objections to the chart with you, which I will do tomorrow.  
21 How long is he on direct?

22 MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, we have been trying to  
23 refine it. I don't want to say something that I am then going  
24 to be asked to go shorter on, but in the letter we said it  
25 might be three to four hours. It is looking like it will be

O6H5men3

1 under three but please don't hold me to that. I am really  
2 trying to get it down.

3 THE COURT: So it looks like for tomorrow we will get  
4 Sellinger, Soliman, and start on van Wie; right?

5 MR. MONTELEONI: Yes. That's what is it is looking  
6 like. I actually -- I should correct something that I said  
7 about Thursday's witnesses because we had to -- I was thinking  
8 about when we thought we were going to be starting on Monday,  
9 not starting tomorrow, but yes, I think that tomorrow is likely  
10 to be Sellinger, Soliman, and start of van Wie.

11 THE COURT: Tell me now about Catania, Fuchs -- Mearns  
12 is your para on the exhibit that there is an issue on, the  
13 presentation; right?

14 MR. RICHENTHAL: That's correct.

15 THE COURT: Tell me about Catania and Fuchs. How long  
16 are they going to be?

17 MR. MONTELEONI: First of all, I should say I  
18 apologize for this, they are likely going to be on Monday, not  
19 on this coming Thursday.

20 THE COURT: Let me just see. Well, you mean there may  
21 or may not be, it depends -- oh, there is cross on van Wie.  
22 OK, let's assume that it would be on Monday. All right. Go  
23 ahead. Catania, Fuchs; who are they? How long?

24 MR. MONTELEONI: So Catania is New York Federal  
25 Reserve Bank employee to testify about bank note tracing.

O6H5men3

1 Isabella Fuchs is an FBI employee who intends to testify about  
2 relating some of the serial numbers that were photographed in  
3 the search of the -- from the cash seized and searched at the  
4 home to the data that the Federal Reserve will have provided  
5 about when these bank notes entered into circulation. We think  
6 that their combined direct would be about an hour and a half  
7 total. But I should say, I forgot one witness for Thursday  
8 which will be before them.

9 THE COURT: Who? Van Wie or Mearns?

10 MR. MONTELEONI: Tuesday is going to be van Wie,  
11 possibly we can sneak Mearns in on Tuesday. Thursday we finish  
12 up with van Wie. In addition to Vasken Khoroizian, a jeweler  
13 and Shannon Kopplin, ethics counsel for Senate I neglected,  
14 with apologies, to mention Vikas Khanna, who is the first  
15 assistant U.S. Attorney for the District of New Jersey.

16 THE COURT: When are they coming in?

17 MR. MONTELEONI: For Thursday, this coming Thursday.

18 THE COURT: Who do you see -- you have told me about  
19 all of these, I realize that now, Catania, Fuchs and so forth.  
20 Who do you see on Thursday? Catania, Fuchs, Khanna and  
21 Khoroizian

22 MR. MONTELEONI: I think Khanna, Khoroizian, and  
23 Shannon Kopplin. We think that Catania and Fuchs are likely to  
24 be the following Monday so a week from today, not Thursday, as  
25 I initially told you. I apologize.

O6H5men3

1 THE COURT: If I understand now, Thursday is Kopplin,  
2 Khorozian, Khanna.

3 MR. MONTELEONI: Yes. And whatever is left of  
4 van Wie.

5 THE COURT: Now let's deal with Critchley. First of  
6 all can I deal with it without Kevin Marino on the phone? What  
7 is the position of the parties here in regard to Critchley? I  
8 have the e-mail from Mr. Weitzman of 12:08 p.m. What is the  
9 position of the parties?

10 Mr. Weitzman, it is your letter here.

11 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes. I have been in touch with Kevin  
12 Marino. I think he would be comfortable with us discussing it  
13 outside his presence. We can also get him on the call if you  
14 prefer but I know his position, given what he has communicated  
15 to me, and I think he has spoken directly with the government  
16 as well.

17 Mr. Critchley is 80 years old. He will not join in a  
18 live -- in a conference room live for a deposition to preserve  
19 his testimony. He has made that very clear to us through  
20 counsel. He is fearful and does not want to proceed with  
21 in-person testimony. He is more than willing to sit for a  
22 remote deposition. I think we all have experience doing remote  
23 testimony after years of COVID and we would, ask as an  
24 accommodation to the witness, that the government agree to do  
25 that.

O6H5men3

1 THE COURT: Government, what is your position here?

2 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, we did indeed speak to --

3 THE COURT: I take it this is Ms. Pomerantz.

4 MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, your Honor, this is

5 Ms. Pomerantz.

6 We did speak to Kevin Marino. We did not hear from  
7 Mr. Weitzman until we saw that e-mail that he sent to your  
8 Honor's chambers. We agreed to this accommodation so as to not  
9 disrupt the witness' vacation plans and we had indicated that  
10 we could wear masks. We don't understand there to be a legal  
11 basis to force us to do this by video and defense hasn't cited  
12 any, and so this is just the first that we are hearing of this  
13 from Mr. Weitzman.

14 THE COURT: Well, have you spoke -- you mean Marino  
15 didn't tell you this?

16 MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, he did just -- he did this  
17 morning, that he did not indicate that his client would refuse,  
18 he just indicated a preference to do it by video.

19 THE COURT: And what's the government's position on --  
20 I understand you prefer to do it in person, but why?

21 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I think for a variety of  
22 reasons that we prefer to do it in person.

23 I am sure the Court knows from its own experience the  
24 value of being able to interact with witnesses in person and so  
25 that was the reason and our preference to be doing it in

O6H5men3

1 person.

2 THE COURT: Ms. Blakely, technologically, can we add  
3 Ken Marino to this call?

4 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes. They have to give me the  
5 phone number.

6 THE COURT: Somebody provide it.

7 MR. LUSTBERG: This is Mr. Lustberg. I have Kevin's  
8 phone number. His cell phone is 973-715-5315.

9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: OK. I will try to get him.

10 THE COURT: Do I understand that there was an  
11 agreement that he would appear in person before this COVID  
12 issue arose?

13 MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, your Honor. The way we had  
14 agreed upon proceeding --

15 [Outgoing voicemail recording]

16 THE COURT: Mr. Marino, this is Judge Stein. I am  
17 trying to add you to a conference call with the parties in  
18 United States against Menendez. I understand a deposition has  
19 been scheduled here in New York at 6:00 tonight for  
20 Mr. Critchley and there is an issue as to whether it should be  
21 remote or not. Please contact the Court and we will set up a  
22 conference call with you. I will also solicit from the parties  
23 if they have another number for you.

24 Ms. Blakely, can you cut that connection?

25 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes.

O6H5men3

1 MR. LUSTBERG: So this is Larry again, Judge. I know  
2 Kevin for many years, just so you know it is not like I know  
3 these numbers from this case. He is --

4 THE COURT: He has appeared before me also. There is  
5 more than one good attorney in New Jersey, despite what some  
6 testimony was.

7 MR. LUSTBERG: So, his office, I am thinking that  
8 maybe his office will be able to reach him and his office  
9 number is 973-824-9300.

10 THE COURT: Ms. Blakely, call that number on another  
11 line so that we don't have to have the back and forth but get  
12 Kevin Marino on the phone.

13 MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, I have Kevin Marino. He  
14 called me on my cell phone. *Kevin are you available on your*  
15 *cell phone or office line right now if the Court contacts you?*  
16 *They'll call you right now on your cell phone, OK Kevin? OK.*  
17 *Thank you, Kevin.*

18 Ms. Blakely, I think if you call right now he is  
19 expecting your call.

20 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Is that the 824-9300 number?

21 MR. LUSTBERG: No, that's the office number.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Marino, can you hear me?

23 MR. MARINO: Yes.

24 THE COURT: This is Judge Stein from the Southern  
25 District of New York. How are you, sir?



O6H5men3

1 MR. MARINO: I am all right. Good afternoon, your  
2 Honor. It has been a long time.

3 THE COURT: It has, indeed.

4 We have all of the attorneys in United States against  
5 Menendez here on this call with you. I appreciate your joining  
6 it. I understand that there was a deposition of Mr. Critchley,  
7 who you represent, scheduled for 6:00 p.m. tonight, I gather,  
8 to be taken -- not remotely -- taken in a conference room in  
9 the U.S. Attorney's office and an issue has arisen in regard to  
10 that, so speak to me. What's the problem? The government is  
11 all set to proceed at 6:00 p.m. in its offices.

12 MR. MARINO: Thank you very much for the opportunity  
13 to address the Court, your Honor.

14 We have worked cooperatively with the government in  
15 this matter, as I think they'll attest and want to make  
16 Mr. Critchley available and let folks have, do a direct  
17 examination and cross-examination of him. We had planned to do  
18 it in person. The deep concern, your Honor, is COVID, and  
19 specifically knowing that one of the defendants has taken ill  
20 with it, that others were certainly exposed to it and a deep  
21 concern over perhaps another lawyer or more having tested  
22 positive. Mr. Critchley is leaving for Europe on Wednesday. I  
23 am as well on separate vacations with our families XXXXXXXX

24 XX

25 XX

O6H5men3

1 XXXXXXXXXX. I don't want to embarrass him by referring to his  
2 age, he is somewhat senior to me and I am not a kid, and I  
3 think it would be dangerous for him to do this. We will -- I  
4 will make him available in my office, we can do it by Microsoft  
5 Teams or Zoom or whatever other virtual platform anyone wants  
6 to use, but I would beg the Court's indulgence in not asking  
7 us, particularly on the cusp of these vacations and with I  
8 think a very valid concern about COVID-19 being raised, I would  
9 ask your Honor to spare us having to do so in person.

10 THE COURT: Does it change your view if I tell you  
11 that based on what Mr. de Castro wrote here, that the person  
12 who had COVID -- and the symptoms first arose last Wednesday  
13 afternoon, I believe, or maybe Thursday, I'm not sure -- has  
14 been cleared to appear in court and I don't believe, although I  
15 am not sure, that -- I don't think any attorney has tested  
16 positive. So, the person who had COVID has been cleared to  
17 appear in court and he is no longer symptomatic.

18 MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor?

19 MR. MARINO: Your Honor -- I'm sorry. Didn't mean to  
20 interrupt.

21 MR. WEITZMAN: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

22 XX

23 XX

24 XX

25 XX

O6H5men3

XX

XX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX.

THE COURT: Mr. Marino, does this change your view at all?

MR. MARINO: Your Honor, I would think, your Honor, that we should do it by virtual appearance and I ask your Honor's indulgence. I know it is never easy for multi-defendant trial, your Honor has been presiding over it for a long period of time, I know that it is an inconvenience, but I would beg your indulgence to allow us to do it. I have a very viable and strong connection in my office, we can do it by Teams, I will have Mr. Critchley in my office with me, and obviously my role is there to advise him, off camera, your Honor, which is an important role, but certainly I want this to proceed as though it were proceeding in the courtroom where I would not be interposing objections and the like, but I feel very strongly that I should be there and I think the only real fair and safe way to do that for both of us would be to do it virtually, so I ask your Honor's indulgence in permitting us to do so.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Let me hear from the government on this issue. The question is whether it proceeds remotely with Mr. Critchley in, I take it, Newark, and the government and everyone else remote.

O6H5men3

Government?

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, this is the first that we are learning of this and so we would like a moment to confer because, as noted, we understood this morning that we were going to be proceeding in person, we understood that that was all scheduled to go this way, and now what your Honor has just heard is the first that we are hearing about Mr. Weitzman.

THE COURT: All right. So mute yourselves and discuss.

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, if I can add one thing, and it is something I noted in my e-mail? We will be having remote testimony in this case. We expect that Ambassador Cohen --

THE COURT: I saw it. I saw it, Mr. Weitzman. Thank you. Let the government discuss it. I'm not quite sure what the interests of Mr. Menendez here is in having it remote.

MR. WEITZMAN: I don't know that we have an interest other than to preserve this witness' testimony because he is a defense witness called by Mr. Menendez.

THE COURT: Yes. Well, it is preserved by his being present so that concern really shouldn't be a concern of yours.

MR. WEITZMAN: I agree, assuming if he were to proceed in person. I'm not sure that that will occur if we insist on an in-person.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Weitzman. Let the government talk to itself.

O6H5men3

(Pause)

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, this is Lara Pomerantz.

I would again note that the reason that we are proceeding by a deposition is because we have agreed to do so as a courtesy and we are not aware of legal authority requiring us to do it at all or to be doing it by video or in person. Of course, if the Court wants us to proceed this way, we will do so but, again, we are just not aware of a requirement to do so. We have been doing this as a courtesy.

THE COURT: I am going to provide that it be remote. I think this is a request in good faith by Mr. Marino, given the fact that XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, his client is 80 years old, and they are both planning trips abroad within the next day or two. I am going to provide that it be done remotely.

Thank you. I don't think there is anything else and we will notify -- I will have my chambers notify the jurors. Let's hope they all show up and I will provide that the part of this discussion after we dealt with Mr. Daibes' medical condition be made public.

Is there anything else I can help the parties with at this time?

MR. RICHENTHAL: This is Dan Richenthal, your Honor.

We agree that portion should be public. I will just flag for the Court I don't think anything needs to be resolved

O6H5men3

1 now, but we are hopeful we will go from a 48 person list to  
2 something shorter. I would also note, we didn't receive 26.2  
3 material for a substantial number of those witnesses so we  
4 actually don't know what they're expected to say. We asked the  
5 defense last night for a proffer, in sum, of the expected  
6 testimony for the witnesses for whom we did not receive  
7 information and we were told we have no right to it. We don't  
8 have to litigate on this phone call, we may not have a right to  
9 it -- quote unquote -- but we certainly would like it and we  
10 think it would be more efficient for the Court. Otherwise,  
11 frankly, we are entirely blind as to what the defense case is  
12 going to be as to those witnesses.

13 THE COURT: What is your agreement in terms of when  
14 26.2 would be turned over? You certainly have a right to 26.2.

15 MR. RICHENTHAL: Maybe I misspoke, your Honor. The  
16 agreement as 26.2 material had it due yesterday. We did,  
17 indeed, receive it to the extent it exists. Unfortunately, we  
18 were informed it does not exist for a substantial number of  
19 witnesses. We accept that recommendation, that is, that it  
20 does not exist. The problem is that without 26.2 material as  
21 to a number of people, we don't have any idea what they're  
22 going to testify about and therefore no ability to tee up  
23 evidentiary issues. We therefore ask the defense, as a  
24 courtesy, to give us a proffer -- that is the word we used, a  
25 proffer -- in sum, as to what these people would say, and by

O6H5men3

1 "these people" I mean the people for whom we were told there is  
2 no 26.2 material. And the defense declined to give us anything  
3 more. And to be clear, your Honor, when I say "the defense" I  
4 am referring Mr. Menendez' team.

5 THE COURT: No, I understand.

6 Mr. Weitzman?

7 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, your Honor.

8 Just to be perfectly clear, we told the government,  
9 and I think they do not dispute this, that as of those  
10 40-some-odd witnesses, 33 of them have been interviewed by the  
11 government and there are 302s that represent those witnesses'  
12 statements for 33 of them. So there is, you know, eight or 10  
13 or so that there is no 26.2 material for, that's consistent  
14 with my practice generally not to take notes when I speak to  
15 witnesses. We are happy to provide, if and when we call them,  
16 a proffer as to their relevance in advance of trial on the  
17 three-day schedule but I don't think we should be required to  
18 create 26.2 materials for these witnesses now.

19 XX  
20 XX  
21 XX  
22 XX.

23 THE COURT: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX?

24 MR. WEITZMAN: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX  
25 XX.

O6H5men3

1 THE COURT: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX?

2 MR. WEITZMAN: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX --

3 THE COURT: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX.

4 As of now, look, I am going to expect that 48 number  
5 to be substantially reduced in the near future. As of now, I  
6 don't expect you to generate 26.2 material, but I want a solid  
7 three days in advance a proffer on these people who don't have  
8 26.2 information disclosed.

9 All right, I will see everyone tomorrow at 9:30.

10 Thank you.

11 (Adjourned to June 18, 2024, at 9:30 a.m.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25